

ABSTINENCE EDUCATION PROPOSAL APPLICATIONS SCORING TOOL

Applicant Agency:	 	
Project Title:	 	
Reviewer Name:	 	
Date of Review:		

INDIANA STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH **GRANT APPLICATION SCORING TOOL**

1.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION AND ATTACHMENTS (CHECK OFF IF

1.0		PLETE)
INCL	UDES A	ALL OF THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS:
	Pı	roject title
	A	gency Name
	A	gency Contact Information
	Pı	rimary Contact Information
	A	uthorized Executive Official signature
		Patric.
1.0 C	.OMM	EN15:
1.0 C	OWIN	EN15:
1.0 C		ANIZATIONAL BACKGROUND AND CAPACITY (2.0 = 10 POINTS MAX)
2.0 PROV	ORG <i>I</i>	

	The history, capability, experiences, and major accomplishments of the partnering organization(s) illustrate they are qualified to operate the
	proposed project
2-B	5 \ 1
	Staff biosketches illustrate staff are qualified to operate the proposed project
	Evidence of a strong experienced leader to direct the program
	Staffing is adequate for the proposed project
	Clear job descriptions are provided for staff responsible for the
	proposed project activities
2-C	Resources $(2-C = 5 points max)$
	Describes resources available (within the applicant agency and its
	partner organizations) and how they will be used for the proposed
	project (e.g., facilities, equipment)
	Provides evidence that services will be provided in a location that is
	adequate and accessible
	Assures that project facilities will be smoke-free and tobacco-free at all
	times Assures that hours of operation are posted and visible from outside the
	facilities.
	Explains how the facilities/equipment is compliant with the Americans
	with Disabilities Act (ADA) and amenable to the population(s) of focus
	and if not, explains why
	Resources seem adequate to support the activities of the proposed
	project
	It is evident that funds will be used to supplement not supplant existing
	resources
	TENTS.
.0 COMM	IEN 15:
WEAK	AVERAGE STRONG
AA TTUTT	MATIMAT BINOMO

	E OF DATA PROVIDED BY NEEDS ASSESSMENT CONDUCTED BY CH DIVISION.
	Serves counties identified as high risk in 2009 Natality Report (Delaware, Grant, Lake, LaPorte, Madison, Marion, St. Joseph, Shelby, Vanderburgh, Vigo, and Wayne)
	Detailed description of how populations identified as high risk by the MCH Needs
	Assessment will be served
3.0 COMN	MENTS:
WEAK	AVERAGESTRONG
4.0 S'	TATEMENT OF NEED (4.0 = 10 POINTS MAX)
COMMUNI AREAS AN	S NEED FOR AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PROJECT IN THE SPECIFIC TY OR POPULATION AS IT RELATES TO THE REQUIRED PRIORITY D ADDITIONAL PRIORITY AREA(S). DESCRIBES AND JUSTIFIES THE ON OF FOCUS.
	_ Describes and justifies the geographic area(s) to be served
	Uses data to describe the need and extent of the need (e.g. current prevalence or incidence rates) for the population(s) of focus
	providence of including faces, for the population(b) of locals

TARGETS PRIORITY POPULATION (3.0 = 10 POINTS MAX)

3.0

	Cites all references
	Describes how the needs were identified
	Describes resources currently available to pregnant and parenting teens and identifies gaps in service
	Stated needs correspond to the identified priorities for the priority population
4.0 COMME	:NTS:
WERK	AVEDACE STRONG
WEAK	AVERAGE STRONG
	AVERAGE STRONG CT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES (5.0 = 10 POINTS MAX)
5.0 PROJE	CT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES (5.0 = 10 POINTS MAX) HOW PROGRAM INTENDS TO ACHIEVE OUTLINED ABSTINENCE

	Describes and provides strong rationale for the anticipated impact the proposed project will have on the community
	Provides evidence and strong reasoning for why project goals and objectives will produce meaningful and relevant results (e.g. increase access, availability, prevention, awareness, support, and build infrastructure)
	Objectives are measurable, realistic, focused on results, clear and precise
_	Objectives clearly identify the changes intended by the Required Priority Area
	Objectives are identified to address one or more of the Recommended Priority Areas
	Goals and objectives relate directly to Action Plan Tables
5.0 CON	TIMEN 19:
5.0 COM	INIEN 15:
5.0 COM	AVERAGE STRONG
WEAK_	
WEAK	AVERAGE STRONG

 	would be able to individually Evidence that knowledge and assistance between partnering organizations will be shared reciprocally Evidence that all partners will be involved in some level of the 'decision making' for the project Evidence that partnerships will promote systems of care
6.0 COMM	ENTS:
WEAK	AVERAGE STRONG
7.0 ACT	VITIES (7.0 = 10 POINTS MAX)
DESCRIBES	THE ACTIVITIES OF THE PROJECT. THESE MUST RELATE TO THE S TO BE ACHIEVED.
DESCRIBES	THE ACTIVITIES OF THE PROJECT. THESE MUST RELATE TO THE
DESCRIBES	THE ACTIVITIES OF THE PROJECT. THESE MUST RELATE TO THE S TO BE ACHIEVED. Describes how the proposed service(s) or practice(s) will be
DESCRIBES	THE ACTIVITIES OF THE PROJECT. THESE MUST RELATE TO THE S TO BE ACHIEVED. Describes how the proposed service(s) or practice(s) will be implemented Describes how the project will identify, recruit, and retain the

	Shows the necessary groundwork (e.g. planning, consensus development, development of memoranda of agreement, identification of potential facilities) has been completed or is near completion so that the project can be implemented and service delivery begin as soon as possible and no later than four (4) months after the grant award
	Describes potential barriers to successful implementation of the proposed project and how the project will overcome them
	All activities seem achievable and there is reason to believe they will be effective in reaching the outlined goals and objectives of the project
7.0 COMM	IENTS:
WEAK	AVERAGE STRONG
8.0 USE 0	OF EVIDENCE-BASED OR PROMISING PROGRAM (8.0 = 10 POINTS)
IMPLEMEN	S AN EVIDENCE-BASED PROGRAM THAT PROJECT PROPOSES TO T AND DISCUSSES HOW IT ADDRESSES THE PURPOSE, GOALS AND ES OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT.

evaluation design
The evaluation of the program measured knowledge, attitude and
behavior
The evaluation of the program had an adequate sample size
The evaluation of the program used sound research methods and
processes
The program was replicated in different locations with effective results
The evaluation of the program was published in a peer-reviewed
journal or other credible source
8.0 COMMENTS:
WEAK AVERAGE STRONG
O O ENALIZADION DI AN (O O - 10 DOINING MAY)
9.0 EVALUATION PLAN (9.0 = 10 POINTS MAX)
IDENTIFIES HOW THE ORGANIZATION WILL DOCUMENT ITS ABILITY TO
COLLECT AND REPORT ON THE REQUIRED PERFORMANCE MEASURES AS SPECIFIED IN THE OUTCOME FORM
SPECIFIED IN THE OUTCOME FORM
9-A Performance Measures Evaluation
Describes effective plan for data collection
Specifies and justifies all instruments (i.e. questionnaires, assessment
tools) that will be used for both priority areas
Describes effective plan for data management
Describes effective plan for data analysis

_	Describes methods for ensuring continuous quality improvement,
	including consideration of disparate outcomes for different
	racial/ethnic groups and methods seems reasonable
	Describes how information of process outcomes will be communicated
_	to program staff and plan seems reasonable
	Describes plan for protection of clients/project participants and plan
_	seems reasonable
9	-B Objective Outcome Evaluation
•	List specific measureable outcomes for each priority area (measurable
_	objective outcomes are provided for required priority areas) in Action
	Plan
	Tables and Outcome Forms
<u> </u>	Tables and Outcome Forms Overall Outcome Evaluation
3	
_	Describes a plan of action if process outcomes or objective outcomes
	are not on target during quarterly or yearend evaluation and plan
	seems reasonable
_	Describes who is responsible for revisiting activities to make changes
	for improved outcomes
_	Describes how new data, as a result of program, will be used to guide
	the project in the future and plan seems reasonable
_	Describes how process outcomes and objective outcomes will be
	disseminated to stakeholders within the applicant agency, its
	partnering agencies, and throughout local and statewide communities
	and plan seems reasonable
0.000	R & R & TIRTUR
9.0 CO.	MMENTS:
WEAK	AVERAGE STRONG
44 TUIZ	MVLIMOL DINOMO

ALL OF THE FOLLOWING COMPONENTS ARE PRESENT: Objectives are logically linked to the expected outcomes Proposed activities fit well with the objectives __ Timeline is well organized and timeline dates are obtainable Work plan fits within the proposed timeline 10.0 COMMENTS: WEAK AVERAGE STRONG 11.0 SUSTAINABILITY PLAN (11.0 = 10 POINTS MAX) PLAN FOR HOW ORGANIZATION AND ITS PARTNERS WILL SUSTAIN PROGRAM ACTIVITIES AT THE CONCLUSION OF ABSTINENCE EDUCATION FUNDING. Contribution of monetary funds Plans to ensure dedicated staff after the conclusion of Abstinence **Education funding** Plans to continue collaborating partnerships

10.0 OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF WORK PLAN (10.0 = 10 POINTS MAX)

	Contribution of resources from fiscal agent and/or partnering organizations, such as time, location, tools, etc.
	Evidence the project will have lasting outcomes and impacts beyond the lifetime of the project
11.0 COMI	MENTS:
WEAK	AVERAGE STRONG
12.0 LITE	RATURE CITATIONS (CHECK IF COMPLETE)
	Appropriate use of literature citations
	Literature citations are in APA formatting
12.0 COMI	MENTS:

WEAK AVERAGE STRONG
13.0 BUDGET AND BUDGET NARRATIVE FORMS (CHECK IF COMPLETE)
Budget narratives include justification for each line item and are completed
Budget corresponds with project duration
Information on each budget form is consistent with information on all other budget forms
Budget is realistic for the proposed activities
13.0 COMMENTS:
WEAK AVERAGE STRONG